

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,659 09/08/20		09/08/2003	Edward F. Andrewlavage JR.	ADP-167.1	2175
23520	7590	04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	CE M KLEI	_	NGUYEN, PHONG H		
1951 BURR STREET . FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/657,659	ANDREWLAVAGE, EDWARD F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phong H. Nguyen	3724		

	Phong H. Nguyen	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		·	·
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, as tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fortheter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bef	nsideration and/or search (see N0 w);	OTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		/iii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	•	112	\sim
		Firmothy V. Eley	
		Frimary Examine	A

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claims 1 and 5 change the scope of the invention and do not clearly overcome Dryon; therefore, they necessitate new search. For example, with respect to claim 1, a new search for a method of breaking brittle material in which the material is fed vertically instead of horizontally as shown in Dryon is needed. With respect to claim 5, a new search for moving the ribbon continuously before, during and after claimed steps (a), (b) and (c) instead of continuously during each claimed step is needed.